



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

91

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,402	10/22/2000	John Thaddeus Pienkos		9105

7590 08/14/2007
PATENTBANK L.L.C. ATTN: John T. Pienkos
5017 N. HOLLYWOOD Ave.
WHITEFISH BAY, WI 53217

EXAMINER

MORGAN, ROBERT W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/14/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/694,402	PIENKOS, JOHN THADDEUS	
	Examiner Robert W. Morgan	Art Unit 3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert W. Morgan. (3) _____.

(2) John Pienkos. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 August 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,21 and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Luchs, Felton and Cullen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed possible changes to the claim language of claims 1 and 21 to better convey Applicant's invention and the Examiner has agreed to reconsider the 112 rejection in light of Applicant remarks. The Applicant has agreed to submit an amendment before 8/17/07 in order to prevent the Examiner from only considers the claims submitted 10/22/06.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ROBERT W. MORGAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

